G. R. S. 58
Previous references.

File No. 15-1907

190

Serial Nos. 169

(Sep Rev)

Later references.

Ex 30-1908-1 & 2
18 for Nov

GENERAL AND REVENUE SECRETARIAT.

Serial Nos. 169

May 07 ~~Part~~ B. for

SUBJECT.

Claim of the Sri Vibhadi Mutt to the Toddy revenue of the Savanangu Bandasettihalli, Hole-Narsipur Taluk

---

From the Excise Commr.
No 2010/91 dt 31-8-1908.
Submits with opinion the record of enquiry relating to the Toddy revenue of the Inami Bandasettihalli village

To the same No. 504 dt 19-1-1904.
Observes that the records submitted are very meagre and that the Mutt may be given another opportunity of proving uninterrupted enjoyment or other special circumstances to bring the case under rule 20

GPB...8,000—7-4-07

From the same No 3729 dt 21-4-1909.
Submits a further report on the claim

4. To the same. No. H. 7596 dt 20. 5. 1909.
Calls for certain papers not found in the record

5. From the same: No. 10604 dt 21. 5. 1909.
Submits certain papers and promises the submission of certain others after their receipt from the Amildar, which have been called for.

6. From the same No. 38946 dt 4. 11. 1909.
Forwards copy of Hassan Deputy Commissioners letter No. 251 dt 26. 10. 909 together with it enclosure in original furnishing the information required —

7. To the same, Comptroller, Muzrai Supdt. D. B. Hassan No. H. 44936 dt 11. 3. 1910.
States that Government are of opinion that the claim in question is not established and that it should therefore be rejected and directs that any amount which may have been advanced to the Mutt may be recovered and adjusted as early as possible

8. From the Muzrai Supdt.
No. 280 dt 13. 9. 1910.
States that the sum of Rs 18000/- was advanced to the Agent of the Mutt in 1897 when its properties were under the management of the Mutt. Enquires whether the above amount has to be recovered from the Mutt or adjusted out of the present income of the Mutt properties now under Govt management.

9. To the same No. H. 3897 dt 2: 1-194.
Replied in the affirmative —

Office of the Dewan of Mysore

File No. (if any)

Directions.

No., date and purport of receipts to be entered in red, and office note in black ink in order of dates.—To be written only on one side, and inside the line of margin.

Office Note.

8/4/15-01

Branch

Subject Letter No. 2010/91 dt 31st August 1903 from the Excise Commissioner in Mysore Submitting the record of enquiry into the claim to the toddy Excise revenue of the Inam village of Bandasettyhalli in the Hobli Narasipur Taluk

The Inam Settlement does not Concede the right claimed while on the other hand the source of revenue is reserved to the State The original sanad is not forthcoming As per findings of the investigating Officer the Inam is not entitled to the Concession but the Excise Commissioner differs from that opinion for the following Reasons

Because in Govt Profs. No. 338-40 Ex 7 11-19 dt 16.2.1903 the Matts (ostrade) — the Inam right to the toddy revenue of the Inam villages of Bradadra and Kolhally have been recognised on the ground that the late chief Commissioner's order dated

Hg A
1

Sgle 28th

29f 8/5/15-1-01 (2)

9

23rd Dec 1832 directed that the Matt should enjoy the Cody revenue of the said village

2 That Baindas Kelly Kalle is included in the list of the villages the income of which from the Garden and all other Lands, Sayer Khendi Cess and Chillar Bayebab &c are allowed to the Matt

Rules 2. 3. 5. 6 & 12 of Govt Procs. 2074-83. / R7.166-92 of 2. 8. 1901 are held to be applicable in this Case

This case falls under Rule 19 The question of enjoyment for 12 years prior to 1892-93, by the Inamdar has been not been proved. A sum of Rs 1800. has been advanced to the Inamdar as noted in the calendar by the investigating Officer.

I cannot find the document referred to. Exc. letter Show personally

Submd for orders

N 5/11/03.

This case is clearly distinguishable. In the Case of Borabande and Golbhali, the abkari revenue

8/11

SM 15-01 (4)

both of recognition ~~and~~ title by the Inam Department and of enjoyment by the Inamdar. In the former, the title was not recognized by the Inam Department and there is also no evidence offered of enjoyment. The case falls under para 20 of the Rules. The Inamdar in his petition asserts long enjoyment. Before passing final orders, I would give the Inamdar an opportunity of showing that his is a special case in which any special concession is possible under para 20.

30-11-03

05.832

Mr. new taken here
seems to be correct.
But I have no objection
to give the inamdar another
chance to prove his
enjoyment or other
ground as any may
under rule 20. The
general remark in order
of 1832 need
as confirmed
on the previous
enjoyment. Mrs
fact of revenue
has now been proved
affirmative of the inamdar
as the inam settlement
would go back to the
of previous enjoyment
the previous history of the
case, as whether the inam

14425
1.12.03

2 XII.03

3/12/3
4/12

G. R. S. 12]
General and Revenue Secretariat.

File No. 15 of 1901

Serial No.	
2	To the Excise Comr No 5048 dt 9/11/04 observes that the record submitted are very meagre & that the Mutation fee given under Sec 8 of Court fees & special circumstances to be specified Case under rule 20
3	From the Excise Comr No 9729 dt 21/11/04. submits a further report on the Cases.
	The Investigating Officers mentioned the Calendar as also the Dy. Comrs letter no 2019 dt 29/6/03 are not in the record. Hence Reports of enquiry not available Dealt with & draft called for Urgent reference
	Issue 18/5
	15/5/05
	17/5

NOTE—Conti

GPB—50.000—13-5-08

G. R. S. 12]
General and Revenue Secretariat.

SM File No. 65 of 1901 (1532)

Serial No.

4 To the Essex Coroner
No 21596 & 21597
Calls for certain papers
not found in the record

5 From the Essex Coroner
No 10604 & 1457
Submits certain papers
& promises the results of certain
others after these received from
the analyst who have been
called for from him.
The references promised may be
awaited

Sd
Secy
5/6

Sd
5/6

6 From the Essex Coroner
No 38764 & 4/1407
Forwards copy of letter by Coroner
Allen No 2566 & Telegram together with
the enclosures in original forwarding
the information regd

NOTE—Contn. sheet.

GPB—50,000—13-5-08

	Serial No.	
N		G.O. No 504 dy 19/1/04. Excise Comm.
Z		letter No 9729 dy 21/4/04 and the
y		Hasserdy Comm. letter No 399
		dy 11/3/05 have agreed the Case
		in all its aspects.
		The main conclusion is
		that the Devan Bahadur's
Q		order dy 29th Dec 1832 applies
		equally to all villages alienated to the
		Mutt that only the claim of the Mutt to the land
		revenue in respect of Gollahalli
		& Narasandra has been allowed
		by Govt and that Consequently
		the claim in respect of Kuruda-
		Settihalli also should be recognized
		It is already decided that this
		Conclusion is untenable ~~and~~
		(vide Pgs 3 & 4 of these notes) and that
		the claim can be considered
		only under rule 20 provided
		there is sufficient proof of
		enjoyment.
C		After the issue of the
		late Chief Comm's Pro. No 3697-
		Rev. 30 dy 8/10/1873, the Dy. Comm.
		Hassan did not issue ~~any~~

NOTE—Contn. sheet.

Serial No.

S

Cir no 1187-5-74

order of the Division Refer a set of instructions to the Amildars in his District to hold a detailed enquiry into the claims of the several villages The Amildar accordingly held enquiry & submitted his proceedings to the Dy Comp together with a statement The Settlement of the Mulki afsal and the entry of figures in cols 9 (10 & 11) - the Settlement marked (T) and the entry in col 6 of the Inam register & enquiry clearly show that the item of revenue in question was not included in the leasing of the village at the time of the alienation of the village [the whole amount of the leasing was Rs 247-11-4 consisted of only land revenue] and that it was not enjoyed for some time prior to 1874. It is therefore clear that the reservation ordered by the Inam Settlement was correct & that there was no enjoyment or at any rate enjoyment ceased

R No 2738-31-7-74

X

NOTE—Contn. sheet.

GPB—50.000—13-5-08

Serial No.

long before the Inam Settlement
for which there has been no
proof of subsequent enjoyment
and the Agent of the Inamdar is quite
unable to produce any proof
beyond the statement of 2 aged
Ryots of the village in spite of
the several opportunities afforded
to him.

The claim has therefore to be
disallowed and he will accordingly
be informed accordingly for his
orders.

Sd/-
Collr
Tr
14/2/10

Further ground
that the Inam
Settlement negatives enjoyment
of the right at that time and the claim to
liable to be rejected. In the G.O. of
Jan 1904, the claimant was given an
opportunity to prove uninterrupted
enjoyment for 30 years prior to 92-93
Even if there had been enjoyment at
any time there was an undoubted
break at the time of the Settlement
Now we have got Evidence to show

NOTE—Contn. sheet.

GPB—50.000—13-5-08

Serial No.

T

that the Enjoyment, if any, must have ceased Even before the Amildar report dated 1874 records against the village in question only one item of Revenue viz Land Revenue as Compounding the total Beris of Rs 247/- odd the Column agt Salkari is left blank

It is no doubt true that in the alleged Copy of the Standard order of 1832 allusion is made to Shendi and other items But this Can be Easily Explained That order refered to a number of villages Situate in different parts of the province and Some of which are really Enjoyed Toddy revenue In fact the Govt have Since recognised this right in respect of two of these villages But this does not prove Enjoyment of revenue in respect of the village now in question Each Case has to be investigated and decided independently on its own merits

9

The Claim may be rejected in accordance with the Ex. 1st C Commrs opinion 8/3/10 Sd/- draft ptg

Draft approved S/4

Sd/- 8/3/10

8/3/10 S/4

Serial No. 7

To. The Excise Com
Comptroller
Murrai Distt
D. C Hasan
no 7244493-6 of 11-3-10

States that Govt are of opinion that the claim in question is not established & that it should therefore be rejected & directs that any amount which might have been advanced to the matt may be recovered and adjusted as early as possible

From the Murree Dept
no 280 of 13/9/10

States that the sum of Rs 1800 was advanced to the Agent of the mutt in 1877 when its perquisites were under the management of the mutt Trustees Therefore whether the above amount has to be recovered from the mutt or adjusted out of the income of the mutt perquisites now under Govt management

As the perquisites of the mutt

GPB—55,000—27-4-09

G. R. S. 12]
General and Revenue Secretariat.

File No. 15 of 1901

Serial No.

are under Govt management the sum of Rs 1800 addressed to the Mutt in (84) must be adjusted out of the income of the Mutt properties the Mutt in its present state may not be in a position to pay back the amount addressed to it and there may be no means of recovering it otherwise than from the income of its property. The question of recovering the amount from the Mutt does not therefore arise but one point remains to be ascertained. That is whether the conditions if any under which the Mutt property is taken over under Govt management disables Govt from adjusting its dues out of the income of the said properties. The file may be put up to the Member in charge for favour of his views on the above points.

Sd/- [illegible]

To the Mission Branch for

17/12/00

17/12/00

GPB—55,001—27-4-09

Serial No.

Kindly noting

SR

3/1/11

So far as I can see there is nothing on the file under about the assumption of the management of the Mattville Estate by Government the adjustment of Govt dues from the money now in the hands of Govt The orders of 1904, 1906 and 1907 relating to the management of the Matt Village are first of

Ahmednagar

6/1/1914

Mis
No 28 D
9 1.11

Submitted to see the case referred to in the above note are not found here.

Submitted with a draft stating that the sum advanced may be received from the income of the Matt properties in the hand of Govt

Issue

Draft approved

Asst (12)
17/11

17/1/14

SR

18/1/14

adopted
B
(Vide PP 1107)

#T-O

NOTE—Contn. sheet.

GPB—55,000—27-4-09

Ex 122 of 3/9/03

Secret (1)

From P. R. Pirmaiya Esqr B.A.
Excise Commissioner
in Mysore

To H. V. Nanjundaiya Esqr
M.A., M.L.
Secretary to Government of Mysore
General & Revenue Department

Dated 31st August 1903.

Despatched 1st Septr 1903.

Received

General No. 2010

No. 91

Enclosure No 1 file & one copy of Inam Register

Submits with opinion the record of enquiry relating to the Toddy Revenue of Inam Bandasheltyhulli village, Shoddakadanur Holly, Holenarsipur taluk.

MGCPB—50 reams.—6-12-02.

Excise Commissioner's office
Bangalore, dated 31st August 1903

From

P. R. Purnaiya Esq B.A
Excise Commissioner
in Mysore

To

H. V. Ranjundaiya Esq Jr. M.A
Jr. L
Secretary to Government of Mysore
General & Revenue Department

Sir,

In submitting herewith the record of enquiry relating to the toddy revenue of Imam Bandashetti-hulli village Doddakadnoor Hobli, Holé Narsipur taluk, I have the honour to state that the Deputy Commissioner Hassan District, in his docket No: 201 dated 29th June 1903, approves of the finding of Mr Chandy, Assistant Commissioner, that the title of the Petitioner to the toddy revenue of the above village, is not proved. In the authenticated

Copy

copy of the Inam Settlement Register, received with the Inam Superintendent's docket No $\frac{29}{Inis}$, dated 14th August 1903, and which is herewith submitted, "Abkari" or "Toddy" or any other term indicating it, is not mentioned under the head - "Revenue enjoyed by the Inamdar" in Column 19; and in the Inam Superintendent's order dated 11th July 1874, in column 20, it is stated - "Rights to Abkari and Forests reserved."

2 But in Government Proceedings No $\frac{338-40}{Ex\ R\ 11-1901}$, dated 16th February 1903, the Inamdars right to the toddy revenue of Borasandra and Golhully Inam villages in the Sira taluk, has been recognized by Government on the ground, that in the late Board of Commissioners order, dated 29th (not 23rd as stated in the Proceedings) December 1832, returned to this office with your docket No: $\frac{182}{Ex.R.11-01}$, dated 29th August

August 1903, it is clearly expressed that the Inatt should enjoy the toddy revenue of Borasandra and Gollahully villages. An authenticated copy of this order is also on the accompanying file. In it the above mentioned Bandashettihully village is also included; and therefore the general direction at the bottom of the order, that gardens and all other lands, Sayer, Shendi (Toddy), Chillar Bajebale &c are allowed to the Inatt, applies to Bandashettihully village also. Accordingly I am of opinion that the Inatt is entitled to the Toddy Revenue of this village There is only a toddy shop in it. Therefore Excise Revenue from Toddy is payable to the Inatt subject to Rules 2, 3, 5, 6 and 12 in Government Proceedings No: $\frac{2074\text{-}83}{R.F.\ 166\text{-}92}$, dated 2nd August 1901.

I have the honor to be,
Sir,
Your most obedient servant,

F. N. Nunn Esq
Excise Commissioner
in Mysore

5

SEM (2)

Proceedings of the Govt of H.H
the Maharaja of Mysore

Read

Letter No 2010/91 dated 31 Augt 1903 from the Excise Comm-r in Mysore submitting the record of enquiry into the Inamdars claim to the Toddy Excise revenue of the Sarvamanya Bandasettihalli village, Holenarsipur Taluk held by the Uttaradi Mutt

Seen
21-1-1904

Order 504/247 15-1907 dy 19/1/04

Celeloome (file)
& a copy of Inam Register

In the enquiry at the Inam Settlement of the village in 1877-78, the Mutt was represented by its agent but failed to show that the Abkari revenue was one of the items of revenue in the enjoyment of the Mutt Upon a consideration of this and the documents produced the Superintendent of Inam Settlements held that the right to Abkari revenue was reserved to Government

In November 1900 the Shanbhog of the Mutt, on a petition addressed to the Excise Comm-r

however very meagre. No
enquiry seems to have been
held concerning the previous
history of the shop said to
exist in the village or concerning
the representation of the Shanbhog
in Nov: 1900 as to delivery of
the toddy revenue of the village
to the Inamdar by the Contractor
and District Authorities, nor has
anything been elicited as to what
the Court order referred to by the
Shanbhog is

Under the foregoing circum-
stances the Govt are pleased
to give the Inamdar another opportunity
of proving uninterrupted enjoy
ment or other special circum
stances, if any, under para 20
of the Rules. The Dy Commr will
make the necessary enquiry and
submit the records, through the
Excise Commr with a clear
finding on the question of
enjoyment

18/1/14
Secretary

To The Excise Commr in Mysore
for commr & the Dy Commr
and the Inamdar

1/1/14

Excise Commissioner's Office,

Dated, Bangalore, 21st April 1909.

From

H. H. Sparkes, Esquire,

Excise Commissioner

in Mysore.

To

K. S. Chandrasekhara Aiyar, Esq., B.A., B.L.,

Secretary to the Government of His Highness the

Maharaja of Mysore, General and Revenue Depts.

Sir,

Adverting to Government Order No.504/Ex.F.15-01, dated the 19th January 1904, calling for certain additional information in regard to the claim of the Inamdar of Sarvamanya Banda-settihalli village in the Hole-Narsipur Taluk of the Hassan District to the Excise revenue from toddy of the village, I have the honor to submit herewith the marginally noted references together with the records of the case received from the Deputy Commissioner, Hassan District.

(1) Copy of letter No.2218, dated 16-5-1901.
(2) Copy of docket No.399, dated 16-3-1908.
(3) Copy of docket No.369, dated 25-1-1909.

2. The order dated 28th June 1831 of His Highness the late Maharaja Sri Krishnaraja Wodayar Bahadur, authenticated copy of which was produced at the Inam Settlement and unauthenticated copies of which are filed in the records, directs the several Amildars to continue as hithertofore the villages enjoyed by the Matt. Specific mention is made that sayer, sendi etc., are to be enjoyed as per mamool. The circular order dated 29th December 1832 from the Chief Commissioner to the several Amildars enumerates all the villages that have been granted to the matt. The total beriz of each of the villages is given but the details which constitute the total beriz are not given. At the conclusion of this order a general remark is made to the effect that --

8

that Sayer, Sendi, Chillare Bajebab etc., and whatever is being enjoyed from the beginning, should be left in the undisturbed enjoyment of the Inamdar. I am, therefore, of opinion that so far as the terms of the grant of the village are concerned, the right to the toddy excise of the village was conceded to the Inamdar. Whatever is made applicable to one of the villages covered by the same order must be made applicable to all unless there are any special circumstances to limit such application. The beriz of none of the villages shows the item of toddy and the concession of the right must, therefore, apply equally to all the villages referred to in the order of the Chief Commissioner. But the recognition or allowing of the claim now is a different question as it also depends on some additional facts.

3. At the Inam Settlement conducted in the year 1878 rights to abkari and forests were reserved. The existence of a shop in the village from a very long time is proved by the oral evidence recorded by the Amildar. The excise accounts which are available from the year 1892-93 only, show the existence of the toddy shop in the Inam village from that year. The statement of the old izaradars and the extract from the Taluk office put up in the records raise a presumption, though not conclusive, that the Inamdar was in the enjoyment of the toddy excise both prior and subsequent to the Inam Settlement. It is not quite clear under what circumstance the right was reserved at the Inam Settlement, in spite of the concession of the right in the sannad and the fact that there was a revenue from toddy excise in the village. Of course the presumption is that at the Inam Settlement the Inamdar had not produced any evidence of his having been in the enjoyment of any revenue from toddy. Probably at the time of the Settlement he was not getting any revenue as will be observed from the extract put up from the Taluk records. Rajachar, the agent, is said to have stated that on account of the order that the amount should be received

from

9

from the Government Izaradar, they had not received the amount This correspondence relates to the year 1874 and the Inam Settle-ment was conducted in the years 1877 and 1878.

7. No doubt the Inam Settlement should be the starting point as per rule 18 but it has invariably been the practice to go to the deed of alienation as well. In consequence of the absence of recognition by the Inam Department the case will have to be considered as falling under rule 20 unless there is very strong evidence to rebut their decision. In spite of the several -- opportunities afforded, the agent to the Inamdar has not been able to produce satisfactory proof of enjoyment. The oral -- evidence of the two old Edigars and the extract from the Taluk records go to show that the Inamdar had been in the enjoyment of the right from a very long time and make one reluctant to accept as conclusive the decision of the Inam Settlement. But however valuable such evidence may be it would create a very dangerous precedent to base a decision on such oral evidence -- alone especially as the Inam Department has reserved the right to Government. If substantial documentary evidence is forth-coming, the evidence now recorded may be taken to support the same and to rebut to a certain extent the correctness of the -- decision at the Inam Settlement. As the case now stands in spite of the concession in the nirup and the oral evidence of the enjoyment of the right, the claim has to be rejected unless the Muzarai Department under whose management the Matt is now reported to be, is able to produce documentary evidence to show that the Matt was deriving a revenue from this source. It is very strange that a public institution like the Matt should not have maintained systematic accounts to show the receipt and -- expenditure under the several items.

5. The petition dated 19th November 1900 put in by the Shan-bogue of the Matt is in the file of records. As explained by the Deputy Commissioner in his letter No.2218, dated 16th May 1901 and in his docket No.399, dated 16th March 1908, the pay-

ment

payment of advances cannot be taken to establish the claim as such advances are always subject to the condition that they are liable to be adjusted on the settlement of the Inamdar's claim to the toddy excise. The order of attachment of the Civil Court could not be given effect to as Government had not passed final orders as to the admissibility or otherwise of the claim. If the claim is disallowed the Inamdar will have to refund to Government the amount advanced to him on account of the toddy Excise of the village in question.

6. The case of Hariharpur Inam village in the Krishnarajpet Taluk of the Mysore District, which the agent to the Inamdar cites in his statement, is not in all fours with the present case. In that case enjoyment by the Inamdar of toddy revenue till 1872 was clearly proved. When he applied for a revision of the order in 1883, he had not ceased to be in the enjoyment of this right for 12 years No such proof of enjoyment is -- forthcoming in the case at issue .

I have the honor to be,

Sir,

Your most obedient servant,

for Excise Commissioner.

Deputy Commissioners Office
Hassan District

Dated Hassan 15/16th May 1900

No 2218
Inms T. one an V.P. file

From

The Deputy Commissr
Hassan

To

The Excise Commissioner in Mysore
Bangalore

Sir

Adverting to your docket No 1617 dated 10/12th September last requesting to be informed under what circumstances the Sub Judge was informed that the Ootradi Mutt was not entitled to the Toddy Revenue of Sarvamanya Bondisette-hally village and your reminder docket No 2925/40 dated 22/24th November 1900 I have the honor to state as follows:—

The Inam Commissioner was consulted in this office docket No 1640/Mis dated 24 4 1899

as

as to whether the Inamdar of Bandisette
hally village was entitled to Toddy Revenue
In reply he stated in his docket No. 126
dated 1/5/1899 that according to the
remarks in the settlement Register the
holder of Bandisettihalli is not entitled
to the Revenue of the land in question A
copy of his docket is herein enclosed for ready
reference.

2 Thereupon a reference to the settlement
Register was also made by me and I found
therein no mention of the right of the Mutt
to the revenue in question

The Government Proceedings No 9283-92
Ex. 280
of the 8th April 1896 directing the advances
to be made states explicitly that they
would be liable to be adjusted later when
the question of the Inamdars title to the
revenue is decided as a whole Any
appropriation by a creditor under a Court
is against the spirit of the Government order
order creating the sums as advances liable
to be adjusted later

4. From the enclosed Hobli Statement

Taluk

10/c

Taluk Amildars Report no 25 of the 26th September 1900 it will be seen that the original Paimads are missing hence the Proceedings of the Dewan dated the 29th December 1832 an authenticated copy of which is herein enclosed states that Benkeeth Mally village was given to the Mutt as a provision for flower and Sholasi service of the God of the Mutt The intention and purpose of the gift would be defeated if the revenue is made liable to the personal creditors of the Swamy of the mutt for the time being

5 For the above reasons I was of opinion that the creditors of the Mutt are not entitled to seize the Toddy Revenue of the village and accordingly the Subordinate Judge was informed of the non liability of the Toddy Revenue of the village to be attached for the judgment debt of the Swamy of the Mutt

I have etc
Sd G. Aravamudachariar
for deputy Commissioner
Hassan District

Copy
B Ramanujam
for Excise Commissioner
in Mysore

26th April 1909

102

From

P. R. V. Wetherall Esquire
Deputy Commissioner
Hassan Dist.

To

A. A. Spaight Esquire
Excise Commissioner in Mysore
Bangalore

Dated 11th March 1908
Camp Sakleshpur

No 399.

Sir,

adverting to your Office Memorandum No. 6114/105 dated 21/29th January 1908 forwarding for early compliance Copy of Government proceedings No 504 Ex. 7. 15-01 dated 19th January 1904 regarding the claim of the Uttrade matt to the Revenue of the Sarvamanya Bandisatte Gully Village in the Hole Narsipur Taluk, I have the honor to state that although another opportunity was offered to Sethunnadhavachar the agent of the matt to produce any evidence that might exist of the uninterrupted enjoyment by the Matt of the Revenue in question for the last fifty years or more he has failed to

prove

prove the claim satisfactory. The
Amildar of Hole Narsipur has recorded
and submitted the statements of two very
old contractors Edigars Puttegowda and
Seppe Gowda aged about 90 and 60 years
respectively and they have both stated
that they and their ancestors were for a very
long time past taking the lease of the
toddy shop in Bandadatchalli village belonging
to the matt, from the whole sale contractors
Kunchigar Ramanna and others and that
they were paying six Rupees to the Agent
of the matt on account of the lease of this shop
Agent Rangunadhavachar, who was examined by
the District Excise Officer on three different
occasions, has stated that he is unable to
produce any documentary evidence in support
of the enjoyment by the matt of the Revenue
in question as all the old accounts re-
relating to the matt were wilfully burnt
in the year 89 by the then agent of the
matt to avoid producing them in court
as they were favorable to the Plaintiff in the
civil suit brought by the Shanbhogue
and other servants of the matt against
the Swamigalavaroo to establish their
hereditary

hereditary claim to the Effects in the matt
Beyond the oral evidence of the two persons
referred to above no documentary or other
Evidence has been adduced in support of
the claim ~~Sam of opinion that the oral evidence~~
~~has been adduced in support of the claim~~
I am of opinion that the oral evidence by
itself is not sufficient to prove the claim
of the matt to the Toddy Revenue of the
Village in question In the absence of better
proof of enjoyment, the claim ought in my
opinion to be rejected, especially as the
Government have decided that the chief
Commissioners order of 29th December 1835 upon
which the agent of the matt has solely based
the claim, is not applicable to the present
case unless supported by proof of long enjoy-
ment

2 The Court order referred to by
the Shanbhague in his petition of
November 1900 to the Excise Commissioner
relates to the order of attachment of the
Toddy Revenue of the Village in question
in satisfaction of the decree passed by
the Sub Judge of Mysore, against the
Swamy Gal Varu of the Attadi matt on
account of the emoluments due to the
Shanbhague and other servants of the matt
When the plaintiffs in the case applied to
the court for the attachment of this amount
the

10/8

the Sub-judge made a reference about it to
the Deputy Commissioner Hassan who stated
The latter in reply stated that the Toddy Revenue
of the Village was not liable to attachment as
the matt was not entitled to the Revenue The
order of attachment was not therefore given
effect to

3 The delay in the submission of
this record was unavoidable as the agent
was almost always absent from Hole-Narsipur
being engaged in Bangalore in litigation
against the Swamy Galavaroo regarding his
hereditary claim to the Shanbhog Office in
the matt, and as he wanted time to trace
out and produce the necessary references
in support of the claim

4 The records of enquiry are
herewith resubmitted

I have etc

(sd)

Deputy Commissioner
Hassan District

Copy

[illegible]

For Excise Commissioner in Mysore

26th April 1904

From

The Deputy Commissioner
Nasik District

To

The Excise Commissioner in Mysore

Dated 22/25th January 1909 No 369

His docket No 1027 dated 8/20th May 1908 returning the records of enquiry relating to the claim to the Toddy Revenue of Irum Bandisettihalli in the Hole Narsipur Taluk and requesting that the extracts from the accounts referred to in Government Proceedings No 4567-9-SR 178-07-4 dated 30th April 1908 may be sent up

In resubmitting herewith the records received with the above, I have the honor to state that the Amildar of Hole-Narsipur has reported in his No 15 dated 15.8.08 that none of the accounts referred to in Government Proceedings above alluded to are available in the records

7

10 12

of the Talut office excepting a statement showing the names of Inam Villages enjoying the Soyer and Abkari Revenue which was prepared in accordance with the orginal Proceedings No 273 dated 9-8-1874 in which the name of this village is also enclosed An extract from the above statement received with the Amildar report is herewith forwarded

After amildar's

2 A thorough search was also caused to be made in the Revenue Records of the Deputy Commissioners office and none of the accounts mentioned in the above quoted Government Proceedings appear to be available there also Reply No No 642 dated 7.11.08 received from the Revenue Department of the Deputy Commissioners office is herewith forwarded for his information

(Sd)

for Deputy Commissioner
Hassan District

Copy

[illegible]

for Excise Commissioner
in Mysore

26th April 1909

3968

C.R.S. 2

11

65

File No. 64

Serial No 4.

Diary No. of letter replied to 3968

No. H 7596 in 1906

& 20 May 1906

General and Rev. Secretariat

( )

, *the* 190 .

To

The Essex County

Council

Chelmsford

Date of issue

Signed by

Sir

With reference to

your letter No 9729 &

18th April 1906 relating

the classes of the Elementary

Schools to the Essex

Education Committee

Rural Sanitary Districts

Maldon Rochford Dunmow

Romford Chelmsford Dale

Hartismere Halstead Lexden

& Wivenhoe & Tendring

I am directed by the Board

to state that the Managers

of the above Schools are

not bound according to the

Regulations submitted by the

County Council and that

(1 Question of Closing Schools mentioned in Calendar
2 Letter to County Council No 9729 29/6/03 to Educ. Comm.
3. Copy of Memo Report enquiry

may be invited to consult

as they see

SUBJECT.

MM 1915

received with the above letter
A copy of the Proceedings
No 273 Dy of 21/7/74 from the Hole Vaenga
Mun also the abstract Annexure from No 150 of 15/8/74
& submitted.

2. The Head of the
Detachment submitted,
by the Annexure under
his report from Taboue
is not signed by him

3.

Place

Sd

Jor Sd

Seen
15/8/74
17/8.

List of enclosures.

Copied by

Examined by

84348

Excise Commissioner's Office,

No. 10604 Dated, Bangalore, 21st May 1909.

From

H. H. Sparkes, Esquire,

Excise Commissioner

in Mysore.

To

K. S. Chandrasekhara Aiyar, Esq., B.A., B.L.,

Secretary to the Government of His Highness th

Maharaja of Mysore, General and Revenue Depts

Sir,

Adverting to your letter No. Fl.7596/S.R.15-01-4, dated 20th May 1909, calling for certain additional information regard-ing the claim of the Uthradi Matt to the toddy Excise revenue of Sarvamanyam Bandasethihalli village, Hole-Narsipur Taluk, I have the honor to state that separate Memo was prepared by the inves-tigating officer and the calender is Ferist No.1 in the accompany-ing file which is herewith resubmitted. Copy of the Inam Register of enquiry is also in the file - Vide Fareist No.5 . A copy of the Hassan Deputy Commissioner's docket No.2009, dated 29-6-1903 is herewith submitted.

2. The Deputy Commissioner, Hassan District, has been requested to furnish a copy of the Proceedings No.273- dated 31st July 1874 referred to in the Hole-Narsipur Amildar's report No.15 dated 15th August 1908 and an authenticated copy of the extract of the statement submitted by the Amildar with his aforesaid report. The same will be submitted as soon as they are received.

I have the honor to be,

Sir,

Your most obedient servant,

for Excise Commissioner.

B 4

From

D. Devaraj Urs, Esquire,

Deputy Commissioner,

Hassan District.

To

P. N. Purnaiya, Esq., B.A.,

Excise Commissioner in Mysore.

Dated 29/30th June 1903. No.2019/Mis.

His docket No.1436-43/60, dated 15th August 1901, requesting that records of enquiry into the claims of Inamdars to Toddy Revenue may be submitted.

- - - - - - - - - - -

In reply, has the honor to forward herewith as per margin calendars of claims to Toddy Revenue enquired into by the General Assistant Commissioner together with the extracts of quit rent registers and other papers. The undersigned approves of the findings of the General Assistant Commissioner in all the cases.

1. Calendar of a claim to the Toddy Revenue in the alienated village of Bandisettihalli Hole-Narsipur Taluk.
1. ----- Do ------ Ponnathapur, Hassan Taluk.
1. ----- Do -------- Makanahalli and Bettadapura Stala Kayamgutta of Arsikere Taluk.

(SD) D. Devaraj Urs.

Deputy Commissioner

" Copy "

for Excise Commissioner.

B/C

Excise Commissioner's Office

Dated Bangalore 21st May 09

No 10804

Encl 1 & file.

From,

H. A Sparke Esquire
Excise Commissioner
in Mysore

To,

K. S. Chandrasekara Iyer Esquire
B.A. BL

Secretary to the Government of
His Highness the Maharaja of
Mysore General and Revenue
departments

Sir,

Adverting to your letter No
K 7596 dated 20th May 1909 calling for
SR 15-01-4
certain additional information
regarding the Claim of the Maharaja
Mutt to the Tolls, Excise Revenue of
Eswaramanya Bandashettihalli Village,
Kole Narsipur Taluk I have the honour
to state that separate Inams were pre-
-sented by the Investigating Officer and the
Calendar is Exhibit No 1, in the accompany-
-ing file which is herewith resubmitted.
Copy of the Inam Register of enquiry is
also in the file. Vide Exhibit No 5 AC 0/12

Copy of the Kasauli Commissioners
docket No 216 dated 29-6-03 is herewith
Submitted
2 The Hon'ble the Commissioner Kasauli
Division has been requested to forward
a copy of the proceedings No 273 dated 31st
July 1903 of the Hon'ble Kasauli
Municipal Report No 75 dated 15th
August 1903 and an authenticated
Copy of the Extract of the Statement
Submitted by the Municipal with the
aforesaid Report. Plans will be
submitted as soon as they are
received.

Yours etc
Sd/- Shamsher
Extra Commissioner

No 10305

Official Memorandum

Copy of the above is forwarded to
the Hon'ble the Commissioner Kasauli Division
with reference to his address
in regard to the above letter may kindly
be furnished at their early convenience

Sd/- B. Shamsher
Dt. 182-
Extra Commissioner

Copy of the above forwarded
to

[illegible]

[illegible]

[illegible]

No.	Name	Father's Name	Caste & Residence	Date of Birth & Age	Education	Occupation	Remarks
1	Ramchandra Vishnu Gokhale	Vishnu Gokhale	Brahmin, Poona	1832, 42 years	Marathi, Sanskrit	Clerk	

Poona, 9-8-74

Ramchandra Vishnu Gokhale

1874

Mr. Francis Cathey

4/4/85

ఆ.ని.సం
77

మంకడ 13/4

కులవృత్తులు
11

ఈ సంవత్సరం ప్రభుత్వం మంచినీళ్ళ సమస్యను పరిష్కరించడానికి
ఎన్నో చర్యలు తీసుకుంటున్నట్లు వింటున్నాము.

ఈ సమస్యకు మేము విద్యార్థులం ఏమి చేయగలమో మా గ్రామంలో
ఇటువంటి మంచినీళ్ళ సమస్య ఎలా ఉందో మీకు తెలుసు.
మా గ్రామంలో ఎక్కువ మంది ప్రజలు వ్యవసాయం మీద ఆధారపడి
ఉన్నారు. వ్యవసాయానికి ఎక్కువ నీరు అవసరం. ఈ సంవత్సరం
వర్షాలు సరిగా పడకపోవడం వల్ల వ్యవసాయం దెబ్బతిన్నది.
ఈ పరిస్థితిలో మంచినీళ్ళ సమస్య ఎలా ఉంటుందో ఊహించవచ్చు.
ఈ సమస్యను పరిష్కరించడానికి మేము ఏమి చేయగలమో
ఆలోచించాము.

మా ఇంట్లో ఉన్న పాత్రలు అన్నీ ఇత్తడివి. వీటిని
తోమడానికి మరియు ఇతర అవసరాలకు ఎక్కువ నీరు అవసరమవుతుంది.
కాబట్టి మేము ఇత్తడి పాత్రలకు బదులుగా ఎవర్‌సిల్వర్ పాత్రలు
వాడటం వల్ల నీటి ఆదా అవుతుంది. ఈ విషయాన్ని మా
తల్లిదండ్రులకు చెప్పి ఒప్పించాము. ఇత్తడి పాత్రలను
అమ్మి ఎవర్‌సిల్వర్ పాత్రలు కొనుక్కోవడానికి మా తల్లిదండ్రులు
ఒప్పుకున్నారు. ఇత్తడి పాత్రలు అమ్మగా వచ్చిన డబ్బుతో
ఎవర్‌సిల్వర్ పాత్రలు కొనుక్కున్నాము. ఈ పాత్రలు
తోమడానికి మరియు వంట చేయడానికి ఎక్కువ నీరు అవసరం
లేదు. ఈ పాత్రలు తోమడానికి ఉపయోగించిన నీటిని
వృథాగా పోనివ్వకుండా ఆ నీటిని మొక్కలకు పోయడానికి
ఉపయోగించాము. ఈ విధంగా మేము నీటిని ఆదా చేయగలిగాము.
ఈ విధంగా మేము మా ఇంట్లో నీటి సమస్యను కొంతవరకు
పరిష్కరించగలిగాము.

మేము ఇంట్లో ఉపయోగించే నీటిని ఆదా చేయడమే కాకుండా
మా ఇంటి చుట్టుపక్కల ఉన్న వారికి కూడా నీటిని ఆదా
చేయడం గురించి అవగాహన కల్పించాము. మా ఇంటి చుట్టుపక్కల
ఉన్న వారికి నీటిని ఆదా చేయడం వల్ల కలిగే ప్రయోజనాలను
వివరించాము. ఈ విధంగా మేము మా ఇంటి చుట్టుపక్కల
ఉన్న వారిని కూడా నీటిని ఆదా చేయడానికి ప్రోత్సహించాము.

ಕೆಲಸಕ್ಕೆ ಹೋಗುವ ಮಹಿಳೆಯರಿಗೆ ಮಕ್ಕಳ ಪಾಲನೆ ಮತ್ತು ಪೋಷಣೆಯ ಜವಾಬ್ದಾರಿಯಿಂದಾಗಿ ಅವರು ಕೆಲಸದಲ್ಲಿ ಹೆಚ್ಚು ಸಮಯ ಕಳೆಯಲು ಸಾಧ್ಯವಾಗುವುದಿಲ್ಲ. ಇದರಿಂದಾಗಿ ಅವರಿಗೆ ಸಿಗಬೇಕಾದ ಹುದ್ದೆಗಳು ಮತ್ತು ಸಂಬಳದಲ್ಲಿ ಅವರು ಹಿಂದುಳಿಯಬೇಕಾಗುತ್ತದೆ. ಮಕ್ಕಳ ಪಾಲನೆ ಮತ್ತು ಪೋಷಣೆಯ ಜವಾಬ್ದಾರಿಯನ್ನು ಮಹಿಳೆಯರು ಮಾತ್ರ ಹೊರಬೇಕೆಂಬ ಸಾಮಾಜಿಕ ನಿಯಮವನ್ನು ಬದಲಾಯಿಸಿ ಪುರುಷರೂ ಸಹ ಈ ಜವಾಬ್ದಾರಿಯನ್ನು ಹೊರಬೇಕೆಂಬ ಮನೋಭಾವನೆ ಬೆಳೆಯಬೇಕಾಗಿದೆ.

೨) ಪ್ರಸ್ತುತ ಜನಸಂಖ್ಯೆಯ ಮಹತ್ವವನ್ನು ಅರಿತುಕೊಂಡು ಜನಸಂಖ್ಯಾ ನಿಯಂತ್ರಣದ ಅವಶ್ಯಕತೆಯ ಬಗ್ಗೆ ಜನರಲ್ಲಿ ಅರಿವು ಮೂಡಿಸಬೇಕಾಗಿದೆ. ಜನಸಂಖ್ಯೆಯ ಹೆಚ್ಚಳದಿಂದ ಆಗುವ ಸಮಸ್ಯೆಗಳ ಬಗ್ಗೆ ಜನರಿಗೆ ತಿಳಿಸಿ ಹೇಳಬೇಕಾಗಿದೆ. ಜನಸಂಖ್ಯೆಯ ಹೆಚ್ಚಳದಿಂದ ಆಹಾರ, ವಸತಿ, ಶಿಕ್ಷಣ, ಆರೋಗ್ಯ, ಉದ್ಯೋಗ ಮುಂತಾದ ಸಮಸ್ಯೆಗಳು ಉಂಟಾಗುತ್ತವೆ. ಇದರಿಂದ ಜನರ ಜೀವನ ಮಟ್ಟ ಕುಸಿಯುತ್ತದೆ. ಆದ್ದರಿಂದ ಜನಸಂಖ್ಯೆಯ ಹೆಚ್ಚಳವನ್ನು ತಡೆಗಟ್ಟಲು ಕುಟುಂಬ ಕಲ್ಯಾಣ ಕಾರ್ಯಕ್ರಮಗಳನ್ನು ಜಾರಿಗೆ ತರಬೇಕಾಗಿದೆ. ಕುಟುಂಬ ಕಲ್ಯಾಣ ಕಾರ್ಯಕ್ರಮಗಳ ಬಗ್ಗೆ ಜನರಲ್ಲಿ ಅರಿವು ಮೂಡಿಸಬೇಕಾಗಿದೆ. ಕುಟುಂಬ ಕಲ್ಯಾಣ ಕಾರ್ಯಕ್ರಮಗಳ ಬಗ್ಗೆ ಜನರಲ್ಲಿ ಇರುವ ತಪ್ಪು ಕಲ್ಪನೆಗಳನ್ನು ಹೋಗಲಾಡಿಸಬೇಕಾಗಿದೆ. ಕುಟುಂಬ ಕಲ್ಯಾಣ ಕಾರ್ಯಕ್ರಮಗಳ ಬಗ್ಗೆ ಜನರಲ್ಲಿ ಇರುವ ಭಯವನ್ನು ಹೋಗಲಾಡಿಸಬೇಕಾಗಿದೆ. ಕುಟುಂಬ ಕಲ್ಯಾಣ ಕಾರ್ಯಕ್ರಮಗಳ ಬಗ್ಗೆ ಜನರಲ್ಲಿ ಇರುವ ಮೂಢನಂಬಿಕೆಗಳನ್ನು ಹೋಗಲಾಡಿಸಬೇಕಾಗಿದೆ. ಕುಟುಂಬ ಕಲ್ಯಾಣ ಕಾರ್ಯಕ್ರಮಗಳ ಬಗ್ಗೆ ಜನರಲ್ಲಿ ಇರುವ ಅಜ್ಞಾನವ

ಇತ್ಯಂವಧಾಂಸಿಲಿಖ್ಯಯಉಚಿತಂಸಾಕ್ಷಿಪತ್ರಮೇ
ಕೈಂಯಂಪಕಾಸಾಕ್ಷಿಗಳುಇಪ್ಪತ್ತಿಳ್ಳಇಪ್ಪುವರಿಗೆ
ನಡಿಯಉಕ್ತಿಮಾಸಂವರಕ್ಕೆಪೇಳ್ಗಲ್ಪಡಂಯವೆಂಬಾ
ಲಿಖ್ಯವಸಾಂವಳ್ಳಿಲಂಕಾಇಂತಾಲಿಖ್ಯಳು
ಬಿಟ್ಟಇಪ್ಪರೆಕ್ಕೆಲಿಖಪಂಕ್ತಿಮಾಂಬಿಪರೀತಪಡಂ
ಪಲಿಂಯಂಪಡಸ್ಯಗಾಲಾಲಂಪಕ್ಷಾಂಕ್ಷಿಸಿಂಹ್ಯಂಳ್ಳ
ಸಿಕೆಸಿಕೆಂ

೯ ಪಿಂಡವಳ್ಳಿಕ್ಷೆತ್ರಿಯಸ್ಸಾಲಸಾಂಭವಿಸಿಂವಸಾಕ್ಷಿಪಂಸ್ಥಿಕ
ಸಾಂಳ್ಳಿಮಿಂಪಿಂಚಿಂಸ್ಥಿಪ್ಪೆಮೇಂಪಿವಪವಂಸಿಸಿಂಕಿಸಿಂ
ಪಡಿಪಿಂದಾಸಂಸ್ಥಿಕಳಪ್ಪಸ್ಥಾಲಾಳಲಿಪ್ರಾಂಶಲಾಂಪಾಂಕಿ
ಲಿಕ್ಷಸ್ಥಾಂಪಿಂಪಡಿಇಪ್ಪಿಗಿಕ್ಷಲಿಪ್ಪಿಸ್ಥಿಯಾಂಸಾವಡೇಪಿವಿಂಸಾ
ಪೇಂಪಿಸಾಪಡಿಸಾಳ್ಳಿಗಳಾಲಿಪ್ಪಿಸ್ಥಾಂಇಪಿಶವಿಪಿವಾಂಲಿಲಿ
ಯಿಂಸಿಸಾಲಪಡಿಸಾಳ್ಳಿಗಳಾಲಿಪ್ಪಿಸ್ಥಾಂಪಿಂಸಾಲಿಪ್ಪಿಸ್ಥಾಂಪಿಂಸಾಲಿಪ್ಪಿಸ್ಥಾಂ
ಇಂಳಿಸಾಲಿಸಾಂಪಿಪಡಿಸಾಳ್ಳಿಗಳಾಲಿಪ್ಪಿಸ್ಥಾಂಪಿಂಸಾಲಿಪ್ಪಿಸ್ಥಾಂಪಿಂಸಾಲಿಪ್ಪಿಸ್ಥಾಂ
ಕಿಪಿಸಾಲಪಡಿಸಾಳ್ಳಿಗಳಾಲಿಪ್ಪಿಸ್ಥಾಂಪಿಂಸಾಲಿಪ್ಪಿಸ್ಥಾಂಪಿಂಸಾಲಿಪ್ಪಿಸ್ಥಾಂ
ಸಿಪಿಸಾಲಪಡಿಸಾಳ್ಳಿಗಳಾಲಿಪ್ಪಿಸ್ಥಾಂಪಿಂಸಾಲಿಪ್ಪಿಸ್ಥಾಂಪಿಂಸಾಲಿಪ್ಪಿಸ್ಥಾಂ
ಪಿಸಾಲಪಡಿಸಾಳ್ಳಿಗಳಾಲಿಪ್ಪಿಸ್ಥಾಂಪಿಂಸಾಲಿಪ್ಪಿಸ್ಥಾಂಪಿಂಸಾಲಿಪ್ಪಿಸ್ಥಾಂ

ಈ ಲಿಖ್ಯವಸಾಂವಳ್ಳಿಲಂಕಾಇಂತಾಲಿಖ್ಯಳು
ಬಿಟ್ಟಇಪ್ಪರೆಕ್ಕೆಲಿಖಪಂಕ್ತಿಮಾಂಬಿಪರೀತಪಡಂ
ತಿಳ್ಯಯಉಕ್ತಿಮಾಸಂವರಕ್ಕೆಪೇಳ್ಗಲ್ಪಡಂಯವೆಂಬಾ
ನಡಿಯಉಕ್ತಿಮಾಸಂವರಕ್ಕೆಪೇಳ್ಗಲ್ಪಡಂಯವೆಂಬಾ
ಇತ್ಯಂವಧಾಂಸಿಲಿಖ್ಯಯಉಚಿತಂಸಾಕ್ಷಿಪತ್ರಮೇ
ಕೈಂಯಂಪಕಾಸಾಕ್ಷಿಗಳುಇಪ್ಪತ್ತಿಳ್ಳಇಪ್ಪುವರಿಗೆ
ನಡಿಯಉಕ್ತಿಮಾಸಂವರಕ್ಕೆಪೇಳ್ಗಲ್ಪಡಂಯವೆಂಬಾ
ಲಿಖ್ಯವಸಾಂವಳ್ಳಿಲಂಕಾಇಂತಾಲಿಖ್ಯಳು
ಬಿಟ್ಟಇಪ್ಪರೆಕ್ಕೆಲಿಖಪಂಕ್ತಿಮಾಂಬಿಪರೀತಪಡಂ
ಪಲಿಂಯಂಪಡಸ್ಯಗಾಲಾಲಂಪಕ್ಷಾಂಕ್ಷಿಸಿಂಹ್ಯಂಳ್ಳ
ಸಿಕೆಸಿಕೆಂ

೯ ಪಿಂಡವಳ್ಳಿಕ್ಷೆತ್ರಿಯಸ್ಸಾಲಸಾಂಭವಿಸಿಂವಸಾಕ್ಷಿಪಂಸ್ಥಿಕ
ಸಾಂಳ್ಳಿಮಿಂಪಿಂಚಿಂಸ್ಥಿಪ್ಪೆಮೇಂಪಿವಪವಂಸಿಸಿಂಕಿಸಿಂ
ಪಡಿಪಿಂದಾಸಂಸ್ಥಿಕಳಪ್ಪಸ್ಥಾಲಾಳಲಿಪ್ರಾಂಶಲಾಂಪಾಂಕಿ
ಲಿಕ್ಷಸ್ಥಾಂಪಿಂಪಡಿಇಪ್ಪಿಗಿಕ್ಷಲಿಪ್ಪಿಸ್ಥಿಯಾಂಸಾವಡೇಪಿವಿಂಸಾ
ಪೇಂಪಿಸಾಪಡಿಸಾಳ್ಳಿಗಳಾಲಿಪ್ಪಿಸ್ಥಾಂಇಪಿಶವಿಪಿವಾಂಲಿಲಿ
ಯಿಂಸಿಸಾಲಪಡಿಸಾಳ್ಳಿಗಳಾಲಿಪ್ಪಿಸ್ಥಾಂಪಿಂಸಾಲಿಪ್ಪಿಸ್ಥಾಂಪಿಂಸಾಲಿಪ್ಪಿಸ್ಥಾಂ
ಇಂಳಿಸಾಲಿಸಾಂಪಿಪಡಿಸಾಳ್ಳಿಗಳಾಲಿಪ್ಪಿಸ್ಥಾಂಪಿಂಸಾಲಿಪ್ಪಿಸ್ಥಾಂಪಿಂಸಾಲಿಪ್ಪಿಸ್ಥಾಂ
ಕಿಪಿಸಾಲಪಡಿಸಾಳ್ಳಿಗಳಾಲಿಪ್ಪಿಸ್ಥಾಂಪಿಂಸಾಲಿಪ್ಪಿಸ್ಥಾಂಪಿಂಸಾಲಿಪ್ಪಿಸ್ಥಾಂ
ಸಿಪಿಸಾಲಪಡಿಸಾಳ್ಳಿಗಳಾಲಿಪ್ಪಿಸ್ಥಾಂಪಿಂಸಾಲಿಪ್ಪಿಸ್ಥಾಂಪಿಂಸಾಲಿಪ್ಪಿಸ್ಥಾಂ
ಪಿಸಾಲಪಡಿಸಾಳ್ಳಿಗಳಾಲಿಪ್ಪಿಸ್ಥಾಂಪಿಂಸಾಲಿಪ್ಪಿಸ್ಥಾಂಪಿಂಸಾಲಿಪ್ಪಿಸ್ಥಾಂ

ಈ ಲಿಖ್ಯವಸಾಂವಳ್ಳಿಲಂಕಾಇಂತಾಲಿಖ್ಯಳು
ಬಿಟ್ಟಇಪ್ಪರೆಕ್ಕೆಲಿಖಪಂಕ್ತಿಮಾಂಬಿಪರೀತಪಡಂ
ತಿಳ್ಯಯಉಕ್ತಿಮಾಸಂವರಕ್ಕೆಪೇಳ್ಗಲ್ಪಡಂಯವೆಂಬಾ
ನಡಿಯಉಕ್ತಿಮಾಸಂವರಕ್ಕೆಪೇಳ್ಗಲ್ಪಡಂಯವೆಂಬಾ

[illegible]

1874 [illegible]

[illegible]	[illegible]	[illegible]	[illegible]	[illegible]	[illegible]
273	[illegible] 31/9	[illegible] 11 [illegible] (3) [illegible] 16 [illegible] 1 [illegible]	[illegible] 16		

No 3824 LU 8715-01 8(6) D 6839

2:5

OFFICE OF THE EXCISE COMMISSIONER IN MYSORE,

Bangalore, dated 4th November 1909

From

H. H. Sparkes, Esquire,
Excise Commissioner in Mysore.

To

K. S. Chandrasekhara Aiyar, Esq., B.A., B.L.
Secretary to the Government of
His Highness the Maharaja of Mysore,
General and Revenue Departments

SIR,

In continuation of my letter No.10604, dated the 25th May 1909, I have the honor to forward herewith a copy of a docket No.256, dated the 26th October 1909, together with its enclosures in original received from the Deputy Commissioner, Hassan District, furnishing the additional information called for in your letter No. Fl.7596/S.R.15-01-4, dated the 20th May 1909 regarding the claim of the Inamdar to the Excise revenue from toddy of Sarvamanyam Bandasettihalli village in the Hole Narsipur taluk of the Hassan District.

I have the honor to be,

Sir,

Your most obedient servant,

Ramanna

for Excise Commissioner.

6783

19 5-0

From 3 31no

A. H Sharthes Esquire

Excise Commissioner in Mysore.

To

K. S. Chandrasekhara Aiyar
Farming, B. A., B.

Secretary to the Government of
H. H. the Maharaja of Mysore,
Genl. and Rev. Depts.

Dated 4th November 09

Despatched

Received

General No. 3824

Enclosure No. 5

SUBJECT.

Forwarding copy of docket No. 2
dated 26th October 09 together w
its enclosure in original in re
the claim of Inamdar to the
Excise Revenue of [illegible]
& Narasipur Taluk, Hassan
District

1909.—VI.

From The Deputy Commissioner
Hassan Assistant

To The Excise Commissioner
in Mysore

Dated 24 3rd October 1909

Despatched 26

Received

General No. 256
No.

Enclosure No. Fore only

Adverting to his office
memorandum No 10605 dated
28th May 1909 forwarding copy
of his letter No 10604 of
the same date to the Secretary
to the Government of His
Highness the Maharaja of
Mysore re the Inamdar
claim to the Toddy Rights
of Sarvamanya Banda
Shettihally Village in the
Hole Narsipur Taluk and
requesting that copies
the documents referred to
in para 2 of the said

letter may be furnished, have the honor to
state that Proceedings No 273 dated 31st July 187
alluded to in the Holemaneypur Amildars
report No 15, dated 15th August 1908 is not the
Proceedings of Government but the proceedings
held by the Amildar as directed in circular ord
No. 11, dated 7th May 1874 by the Deputy
Commissioner of Hassan in accordance with
the Chief Commissioners Proceedings No 369/30
dated 8th October 1873. Authenticated copies
of the following documents referred to above
are submitted herewith for reference

I Extract from the Jamabandi Register of the Hole-Narsipur Taluk Amildars report No 273, dated 31st July 1874 to the Deputy Commissioner Hassan duly dated

II Circular order No 11 dated 7th May 187
from the Deputy Commissioner Hassan to
the Amildar of Holenarsipur

III Extract of the statement showing the
Inamdars' claim to the Sayer and Melavar
revenue of Sivannanya Kunda, Shettihal
village

Copy of the Chief Commissioners
Proceedings

Proceedings alluded to above is not available
either the Taluk or in the District Office

Sd M Ranga Rau
for Deputy Commissioner
Hassan District

Copy
Kramanna
for Excise Commissioner
in Mysore

enue enquired into by H. Jo an District

Grounds of decision.

None has appeared on behalf of the Matt, though
the was served - the original Samad is reported to
have been destroyed An authenticated extract
from the Tehsildar's Register of the Diwani Office of 1832, which
Agent has submitted in another Case was
looked at for reference. This exceeds "Shendi"
the Matt, but the Civil Revenue Register does not
do it. On a reference made to the Diwani
Commissioner, the latter has replied to say that
the Diwani Office Register states that rights to Abka
are reserved to the State Hence the finding that
the title to Lodge revenue of the Matt is approved
An advance of Rs. 1,800 has been made to the
Agent of the Matt on account of Lodge Revenue
which will have to be adjusted after the question
of the title of the Matt to Lodge revenue definitely
settled

K Kennedy
General Assistant Commissioner
Jhansi Division

Hole Kanapua	1	Name of Taluk
Kodakandoor Hobli	2	Name of Hobli Srinivasapur or Mulbagal
Bandichettahally	3	Name of Survey Number or Division or Kanyam- -pudi or village
Letter or Deeds	4	Name of Inamdar Soldier or Kanyamuttadar
Authenticated Copy of Extract from To Register of 1832 of Pay and Allowances Extracts from Circle Register. Circle for Inam Commissioner	5	Documents relied upon
Nil -	6	If Alienation Examined.
Title to Today revenue not proved.	7	Finding
Nil Assessment	8	Amount now made if any

Recd this June 1953
Havanur

(To be kept in all cases by Revenue)

Cleared off

G.R.S. 28]

File No. 15/01
Serial No. 7

Diary No. of letter replied to. 8329

Proceedings of the Government of His Highness the Maharaja of Mysore.

GENERAL AND REVENUE SECRETARIAT.

the 190

Date of issue 11 March 02

Signed by W Narayanrao

READ— G.O. No. 504-Ea.15-01 dt 8/5/04 returning the record of enquiry relating to the claim to the Inam Cultivation of Sarvamanya over Dandasettikalli village Hole Narsipur Taluk belonging to the Uttaradi Mutt for further enquiry as to whether there are any special circumstances for bringing the case under rule 20 of the rules of August 01 or as the claim is not otherwise established and subsequent correspondence

over

ORDER THEREON— No.

SUBJECT

on the subject ending with
letter no 3824 dt 4/11/05 from
the Excise Comr in Mysore
& order thereon no H 4493-6 dt 11 March 1910
SR 15-09

From the statement sub-
mitted by the Amildar of the
Kole Nemitmendadu to the
Dy Comr with his letter no
report no 273 dt 31st Dec 1874
it is clear that the levy of
the village at the time of its
alienation consisted of only
one item viz land revenue.
The enjoyment by the mutt
of the toddy excise revenue if any
must have ceased long before
that date this revenue was
therefore rightly resumed by
Govt at the recent settlements
Conducted in 1877-78 Several
applications were offered
to the Amildar to grant
uninterrupted enjoyment
of this revenue for 50 years

G.R.S. 27]

GENERAL AND REVENUE SECRETARIAT.

(*Continuation Sheet draft form.*)

prior to 1892-93 which would have entitled the Inamdar to claim special treatment under rule 20. But he has not been able to produce satisfactory evidence of such enjoyment. The uncorroborated statements of two Jorgars of the village and ~~such proof of such enjoyment~~ Council be accepted in face of the recorded decision against the Inamdar at the Inam Settlement Court are therefore of opinion that the claim is not established and that it should therefore be rejected.

The Sheet of Rupees 1800 said to have been advanced to the Mutt or such other amount as might have been actually advanced should be recovered and

MGCPB—30,000—5-4-05

request. as early as possible

Frank

Forbes

Mr Reese Comer – title records
Mr Constantelos
Mr Murphy Bessolo
Dr Hassan

Note to Mr Calendar

Mr Calendar
in the records should
be returned for
the file

8/3/10

D 1132 SR

TI 14/9 MW

Office of the Muzrai Superintendent
in Mysore.

No. 280

Dated Bangalore, 13 September 1910

SR. 15-01
8 No. 8

From

A. Subrahmanya Iyer Esq., B.A.,
Muzrai Superintendent in Mysore.

To

The Secretary to Government of His Highness
The Maharaja of Mysore, Genl and Rev Departments

Sir,

With reference to Government order No.Fl.4493-6/S.R.15-01 dated 11th March 1910, rejecting the claim of the Sri Uttaradi Matt to the Toddy Revenue of Sarvamanya Bandasettihalli - - village belonging to the Matt, I have the honor to state that the Comptroller asks for a settlement The money was paid to the Matt Agent in 1897 when the Matt properties were under - Matt management and not under Government management. In these circumstances, I request to be kindly informed whether the - - money is to be recovered from the Matt or the sum of Rs.1800/- out of the income of the Matt properties in the hands of - - Government is to be credited to Excise.

I have the honor to be,
Sir,
Your most obedient servant,

A Subrahmanya

Muzrai Superintendent.

N. Sanctioned write off
VLC 13/9/10

20

9

G.R.S. 26]

File No. 15-01

Serial No. 9

Diary No. of letter replied to 1132

No. H3897

General and Revenue Secretariat

Sep Rev

the *19*

To The Murasi Supdt

in Mysore

Date of issue 21—1—1911

Signed by W Hirigyanna

21—1—1911

Sir,

With reference your letter no 280 of 13.9.10 requesting to be informed if the sum of Rs 1800/ advanced to the Agent of the Sri Uttaradi Matt in 1897 towards the todda revenue of Sarvamanya Bandasettahalli village belonging to the Matt ~~is~~ may be recovered from the income of the Matt properties in the hands of Govt, I am

over.

SUBJECT.